

WCRP Modeling Advisory Council 4th Session

WMO, Geneva, Thursday 9 April 2015

Draft Agenda as of 31 March 2015

Time	Agenda Item	Docs
1. Introd	duction – Chair C. Jakob	
14h00	a. JSC charge to WMAC4 - Chair JSC	
14h05	b. Adoption of agenda – WMAC Co-Chairs	
14h10	c. Review of WMAC3 actions – WMAC Co-Chairs	<u>1</u>
14h20	d. Update on ongoing WMAC activities (Modelling prize, Summer schools) – WMAC Co-Chairs	2
2. Upda	te on existing activities with relevance to WMAC	
14h30	a. WDAC – O. Brown	
14h40	b. ESGF & WIP – WGCM Co-Chairs	
14h50	c. CMIP and MIPs – WGCM Co-Chairs	
3. Possi	ible new initiatives	
15h00	a. Transpose-CMIP—WGNE Co-Chairs	<u>3</u>
15h10	b. Earth System Reanalysis – M. Rixen	<u>4, 5</u>
15h20	c. Urban environments – coastal megacities – M. Rixen	<u>6</u>
15h30	Coffee break	
of the G	eling activities connecting WGs and Core Projects in the trand Challenges (5 minute statements to introduce item • G. Meehl	
16h00	 a. WGSIP- WGCM (seasonal-decadal, presented by WGSIP representative) b. WGNE-WGSIP-WGCM-WGRC (cloud processes and systematic errors, presented by WGCM representative) c. WGNE-WGCM (transpose-CMIP, presented by WGNE representative); d. WGCM-WGRC (regional climate: embedded regional 	

models/high resolution global models (presented by WGRC representative);

e. modeling in Core Projects connected to WGSIP, WGCM, WGNE, and WGRC (Core Project representatives)

5. Discussion on the future of modeling in WCRP – Requested by the Director of WCRP and Chair of the JSC following from previous presentations

17h00 Questions include:

Why do we have so many W*** groups? Can we afford so many groups?

As our focus turns toward seasonal to decadal time scales, and perhaps multi-scale multi-component integrated earth system modeling, do we have in place an effective structure?

Why do we speak the word 'seamless' but divide our activities across so many separate structures? Do we have adequate support in place for CMIP, one of our most prominent efforts?

Should we rather combine regional and global? Can we use systematic errors common to different applications to organize our activities? Is the CMIP6 science focus on this topic useful for this purpose? Should we increase synergies with sister programmes (WWRP, AIMES, etc)?

What should be the place of modeling in distilling *MIP*s simulations?

6. WMAC Business - Chair C. Jakob

17h45	a. AOBb. Membershipc. Next meetingd. Review of actions and decisions	
18:00	Meeting ends	
19:00	No-host dinner to continue discussion with those interested and available	