Assessing the Robustness of Climate Signals from the ECMWF Ocean Reanalysis System 4 (ORAS4)

Magdalena A. Balmaseda

Kristian Mogensen



OUTLINE

- When is a new ocean reanalysis produced?
 - > Approx every 5 years
 - > New observational quality controlled data sets, new atmospheric fluxes, improved methodology
 - > Change of seasonal forecasting system
- At ECMWF the new reanalysis is ORA-S4 (Ocean Re-Analysis System 4)
 - > Implemented operationally in 2011, providing initial conditions for Monthly and Seasonal.
 - > It replaces the previous ORAS3 (vintage year 2006).
 - > Value for climate: Ocean Re-analysis, initialization of decadal forecasts by EC-EARTH
- Brief Description of ORA-S4 components
- Validation of ORA-S4
 - > Series of objective diagnostics and sensitivity experiments
- Assessing Robustness of some climate signals
 - Ocean heat content (budget analysis)
 - Tropical thermocline
 - Attribution of sea level trends
- Dissemination of Results

ORAS4: 5 ens members 195709 to present



Main Ingredients (new in green)

Ocean Model: NEMO. Approx resolution 1x1 deg

Data Assimilation: NEMOVAR

Data: EN3-XBT corrected. Altimeter, SST as in figure

Bias Correction: estimated from Argo period

Forcing: ERA40/ERA-INTERIM/OPS

Ensemble Generation: wind perturbations, observation coverage, deep ocean

Not changed even if new products were available

- SST prior to 2010
- Mean Dynamic Topography

•Horizontal model resolution



Which SST product to use?



We wanted to use the most up-to-date higher resolution SST reanalysis (back in 2010 this was OIv2_025_AVHRR) OIV2_025_AVHRR: colder than other products in the global mean. Weaker interannual variability in tropics (not shown) Differences decrease with time. Fit to insitu Temperature: bias cold in tropics, better in mid latitudes. DECISION: OIV2_1x1 until 2010 and OSTIA thereafter. There is sensitivity to the choice of SST (see later)

NEMOVAR

Variational data assimilation system for the NEMO ocean model (follow up of OPAVAR)

•Collaborative project with several institutions: CERFACS, MetOffice, INRIA, ECMWF

•Multiple loops. Adjoint and Tangent linear exist.

NEMOVAR in ORAS4: Multivariate Incremental 3Dvar FGAT IAU

Flow dependence background errors:

T error depends on vertical gradients

T/S relationship: linearized vertical profile displacement

Sea Level and density: vertical profile displacement taking into account stratification

Geostrophy

It assimilates T/S profiles and along track altimeter.

Automatic QC, thinning, supperobbing

See Mogensen et al 2012. ECMWF techmemo 668

http://www.ecmwf.int/publications/library/do/references/show?id=90389

OUTSIDE NEMOVAR:

SST is used to correct surface heat fluxes

Global Sea Level corrects the fresh water flux

Bias Correction

Bias Correction Algorithm

Number of Temperature Observations Depth= 500.0 meters



Temperature Bias Estimation from Argo: 300m-700m



The offline bias correction is estimated from Argo period. The correction is applied since 1957-00-01 to present. It is a way of extrapolating Argo information into the past.

Seasonal term, Slow varying term, estimated offline estimated online from from Argo Period assimilation increments dk

Bias online: Time evolution

 $\mathbf{b}_{k} = \alpha \mathbf{b}_{k-1} + \mathbf{A}(y)\beta \mathbf{d}_{k}$

Need to determine:

Offline bias correction

•Time evolution of on-line bias: α (memory) and β (updating factor)

•A(y): Partition of bias into T/S and pressure gradient.

> Function of latitude. At the equator the bias correction is mainly adiabatic (pressure gradient)

Refinement of Balmaseda et al 2007, Dee 2005, Bell et al 2002



Bias and ensemble generation

CNTL: Equivalent Model Simulation with SST/FW corrections. No NEMOVAR nor bias. 5 ens ORAS4: 5 ens. ORAS4 no bias correction



Assessment of ORAS4

•Reference CNTL experiment: Equivalent Model Simulation with SST/FW corrections. No NEMOVAR nor bias. 5 ens

Fit to assimilated data

Comparison with independent data

ADCP Current meters from moorings. Sea Level Gauges. RAPID MOC. GRACE Bottom Pressure.

Comparison with other estimates

SL altimeter, OSCAR currents, Heat Content

Impact on Seasonal Forecasts

Sensitivity Experiments and OSES

Fit to Observations (AN – OBS)

Global: Temperature 100m



ORAS4 errors smaller than **CNTL**

Bias more stable in time.

This diagnostic is relevant for the evolution of ocean heat content





1960

1970

1980

Time

4th WCRP Reanalysis Conference, 7-11 May 2012, Silver Spring, Meryland US

2010

2000

1990

Fit to ADCP Velocity Observations TAO, PIRATA, RAMA PIRATA (Atlantic)



-0.5

0.0

0.5

(m/s)

1.0

0 50 50 -100 2002 2004 2006 2008 2008 2010

ORAS4 P0N23W Min= -1.1 Max= 0.97 (cin= 0.10)



CNTL P0N23W Min= -1.1 Max= 0.75 (cin= 0.10)



ORAS4 (mean 0.72). 1960-2009



Time Correlation Sea Level from Tide Gauges. Independent data





Overall improvement, problems at some locations

(usually in rich data areas, possibly related to the treatment of coastal observations)

Data courtesy of Anny Cazenave's group

Time correlation with altimeter SL product **CNTL: NoObs NEMOVAR T+S**



201 ZΘ 100E 160W 60W Longitude (ndim): http=-045, http://0.99, htt=-002

068 072

076

020

038

024

0.92

0 95 100



ORAS4 T+S+Alti

064

060

0.56

0.72





 $0.40 \quad 0.44 \quad 0.48 \quad 0.52 \quad 0.56 \quad 0.60 \quad 0.64 \quad 0.68 \quad 0.72 \quad 0.76 \quad 0.80 \quad 0.84 \quad 0.88 \quad 0.92 \quad 0.96 \quad 1.00$ 4th WCRP Reanalysis Conference, 7-11 May 2012, Silver Spring, Meryland US

Impact on Seasonal Forecast Skill

Consistent Improvement everywhere. Even in the Atlantic, traditionally challenging are



Atlantic MOC at 26N. Comparison with RAPID data.



Ekman

10

5

0

-5

-10 2002 2004 2006 2008 2010 2012

Weaker amplitude than RAPID (weaker Western Boundary current).

MOC is stronger at 40N (25Sv not shown)

Not bad variability, but record is too short. Note the minima in 2010 and 2011

Sensitivity of Climate signals to aspects not covered by the ensemble generation

Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation

20		Atlantic MOC 26	N (Sv) Depth=	1494.7 meters		
15						
10						
5	ORAS4 Strong	weight to co	astal Obse	ervations		
0	Weak Weight to coastal Observations					
U	1960	1970	1980 Time	1990	2000	2010

Sensitivity to the treatment of Coastal Observations Interesting decadal variability

 4^{th} WCRP Reanalysis Conference, 7-11 May 2012, Silver Spring, Meryland ~ US

Upper 300m Ocean Heat content





Ocean Heat Content Upper 700m

Comparison with observational estimates (State of the Climate)



The ocean heat content in ORAS4 is consistent with observational estimates.

Difference with Yellow line is due to assim

CNTL, not shown, overestimates the ocean heat content

Sensitivity of Climate signals to aspects not covered by the ensemble generation

Ocean Heat Content upper 700m



Heat content Uptake by the Ocean



•Interesting vertical redistribution of the heat uptake How robust is it?

- Budget diagnostics
- Observing system experiments
- Any explanation?

Sources and sinks of heat

GLOBAL HEAT BUDGET



Large imbalance in the surface heat fluxes from Atmospheric re-analysis. Note jump in the transition to ERA-Interim, due to excessive solar radiation

Assimilation compensates for errors in the ERA heat flux, in both mean and variability

It can be shown that ASM contribution is largely from the bias correction

Consistency Check

ORAS4 HEAT contributions (1.e22 J)

Total Ocean Heat Content (from vertical T integral)

¹⁵ **Time Integral (ASSIM+ERA+geothermal)**

10

5

0

-5







Is it possible to close the earth heat budget by including the deep ocean?



Trends in the Equatorial Pacific thermocline

EQPAC Depth of the 20 degrees isotherm



Related to changes in ENSO properties (Modoki ENSO)?



Equatorial anomalies (1981-2009 climate)

http://www.ecmwf.int/products/forecasts/d/charts/oras4/reanalysis/

Wind signal likely caused by SST changes

Changes in Indian Ocean and Pacific Warm Pool SST, signature of global warming

Although changes seem robust and physically sound, we still should double check the impact of SST uncertainty in this signal.







 4^{th} WCRP Reanalysis Conference, 7-11 May 2012, Silver Spring, Meryland ~ US

Comparison with GRACE-derived bottom pressure

Sea Level Change= Steric (thermal/saline expansion) + Mass (bottom pressure)



Summary

- ORA-S4 (Ocean Re-Analysis System 4) implemented operationally
 - > Based on NEMO/NEMOVAR ORCA1 configuration. 1958 to present, 5 ensemble members
 - > It provides initial conditions for EPS and Seasonal. Also used in initialization of CMIP5 decadal forecasts
- Validation of ORA-S4 (importance of time dimension)
 - > Fit to the assimilated data. Comparison with independent data. Impact on forecast skill. Sensitivity exp.
 - > Overall assessment: ORAS4 seems sound in the representation of tropical variability and heat content.
 - > Uncertainty in Bottom Pressure variability. Weak Atlantic MOC at 26N, but stronger at 40N.
- Analysis of the Ocean Heat Content
 - > Strong contribution of ocean observations (bias correction, assim increments, surface)
 - Interesting changes in the last decade affecting the vertical distribution of heat. Heat uptake by the ocean below 700m not negligible after 2000. Why only after 2000?
- Robust trends in the Equatorial Thermocline
 - Changes in the circulation, not only in the ocean heat content. Consistent with SST warming in Indian Ocean and Warm pool area. Physically sound, but still need to eliminate uncertainty on of SST.
- Attribution of Sea Level change: seasonal cycle and trend
 - > Some disagreeement between ORAS4 and GRACE derived products.]
- Web Pages for ORAS4. <u>http://www.ecmwf.int/products/forecasts/d/charts/oras4/reanalysis/</u>
 - > Data in community servers (EasyInit, University of Hamburg). Shortly
 - > Tech memo, papers (in preparation)

Key Issues

- Uncertainty in SST needs to be understood and represented.
- Importance of bias correction (for all reanalysis?) Still a bit adhoc.
- Importance of subsurface ocean observations in the earth heat budget
 - > Why the deep ocean starts contributing only after 2000?
 - > Continue revision and improvement of quality controlled observational data sets
- Consistency (and uncertainty) between post-satellite and pre-satellite era:
 - > SST, Surface fluxes, Sea level
 - Other proxy data for validation
- Continuous improvement in model and data assimilation
 - High resolution ocean may have better MOC at 26N
 - Better treatment of background covariances may result in better WBC